

A limiting result for the Ramsey theory of functional equations

Paulo Henrique Arruda

Joint work with Lorenzo Luperi Baglini

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna

July 6, 2022

In 1916 Issai Schur published a paper in which he proved:

Theorem (Schur - 1916)

Given any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $S(r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $c : \{1, \dots, S(r)\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ one can find $a, b \in \{1, \dots, S(r)\}$ satisfying

$$c(a) = c(b) = c(a + b).$$

For each set S and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, any function $C : S \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ is called **r -colouring of S** ; a colouring of S is an r -colouring for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each set S and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, any function $C : S \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ is called **r -colouring of S** ; a colouring of S is an r -colouring for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In the same setting, we also say that S is **coloured** by c .

For each set S and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, any function $C : S \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ is called **r -colouring of S** ; a colouring of S is an r -colouring for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In the same setting, we also say that S is **coloured** by c . Given any colouring c of S , any $a, b \in S$ satisfying $c(a) = c(b)$ are called **c -monochromatic elements of S** .

For each set S and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, any function $C : S \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ is called **r -colouring of S** ; a colouring of S is an r -colouring for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In the same setting, we also say that S is **coloured** by c . Given any colouring c of S , any $a, b \in S$ satisfying $c(a) = c(b)$ are called **c -monochromatic elements of S** . For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define $[N] := \{1, \dots, N\}$

For each set S and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, any function $C : S \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ is called **r -colouring of S** ; a colouring of S is an r -colouring for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In the same setting, we also say that S is **coloured** by c . Given any colouring c of S , any $a, b \in S$ satisfying $c(a) = c(b)$ are called **c -monochromatic elements of S** . For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define $[N] := \{1, \dots, N\}$. Hence, we can rewrite Schur's Theorem as

For each set S and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, any function $C : S \rightarrow \{1, \dots, r\}$ is called **r -colouring of S** ; a colouring of S is an r -colouring for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In the same setting, we also say that S is **coloured** by c . Given any colouring c of S , any $a, b \in S$ satisfying $c(a) = c(b)$ are called **c -monochromatic elements of S** . For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, define $[N] := \{1, \dots, N\}$. Hence, we can rewrite Schur's Theorem as

Theorem (Schur - 1916)

Given any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $S(r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all r -colouring c of $[S(r)]$ one can find c -monochromatic $a, b, c \in [S(r)]$ such that $a + b = c$.

It is not difficult to see that the (**finite**) Schur's Theorem is equivalent to

It is not difficult to see that the (**finite**) Schur's Theorem is equivalent to

Theorem

For all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and all r -colouring c of \mathbb{N} one can find c -monochromatic $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x + y = z$.

Theorem (van der Waerden – 1927)

Fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a r -colouring c of \mathbb{N} . Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a, a + b, a + 2b, \dots, a + (k - 1)b$ are c -monochromatic.

Theorem (A. Brauer – 1928)

Fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a r -colouring c of \mathbb{N} . Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a, b, a + b, a + 2b, \dots, a + (k - 1)b$ are c -monochromatic.

Theorem (A. Brauer – 1928)

Fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and a r -colouring c of \mathbb{N} . Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one can find $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a, b, a + b, a + 2b, \dots, a + (k - 1)b$ are c -monochromatic.

Clearly, we have that Brauer's Theorem implies both van der Waerden's Theorem and Schur's Theorem.

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the columns of A . We say that A satisfies the **columns condition** if there is a partition I_0, \dots, I_r of $[m]$ such that

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the columns of A . We say that A satisfies the **columns condition** if there is a partition I_0, \dots, I_r of $[m]$ such that

$$\mathbf{1} \quad \sum_{i \in I_0} A_i = \mathbf{0}; \text{ and}$$

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the columns of A . We say that A satisfies the **columns condition** if there is a partition I_0, \dots, I_r of $[m]$ such that

- 1 $\sum_{i \in I_0} A_i = \mathbf{0}$; and
- 2 $\sum_{i \in I_t} A_i \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{C_i : i \in I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{r-1}\}$ for $t \in [r]$.

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the columns of A . We say that A satisfies the **columns condition** if there is a partition I_0, \dots, I_r of $[m]$ such that

- 1 $\sum_{i \in I_0} A_i = \mathbf{0}$; and
- 2 $\sum_{i \in I_t} A_i \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{C_i : i \in I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{r-1}\}$ for $t \in [r]$.

Theorem (R. Rado - 1933)

TFAE:

- 1 *Given any colouring c of \mathbb{N} , there are c -monochromatic $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T = \mathbf{0}$; and*

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries. Let $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the columns of A . We say that A satisfies the **columns condition** if there is a partition I_0, \dots, I_r of $[m]$ such that

- 1 $\sum_{i \in I_0} A_i = \mathbf{0}$; and
- 2 $\sum_{i \in I_t} A_i \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{C_i : i \in I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{r-1}\}$ for $t \in [r]$.

Theorem (R. Rado - 1933)

TFAE:

- 1 *Given any colouring c of \mathbb{N} , there are c -monochromatic $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T = \mathbf{0}$; and*
- 2 *A satisfies the columns condition.*

Theorem (R. Rado - 1933)

TFAE:

- 1 Given any colouring c of \mathbb{N} , there are c -monochromatic $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T = \mathbf{0}$; and

Theorem (R. Rado - 1933)

TFAE:

- 1 *Given any colouring c of \mathbb{N} , there are c -monochromatic $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T = \mathbf{0}$; and*
- 2 *A satisfies the columns condition.*

Theorem (R. Rado - 1933)

TFAE:

- 1 Given any colouring c of \mathbb{N} , there are c -monochromatic $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T = \mathbf{0}$; and
- 2 A satisfies the columns condition.

Corollary

Let $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$. The following are equivalent:

- 1 Given any colouring c of \mathbb{N} , there are c -monochromatic a_1, \dots, a_n such that $c_1 a_1 + \dots + c_n a_n = 0$; and
- 2 there is a non-empty $I \subseteq [n]$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$.

Theorem (R. Rado – 1933)

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$. TFAE:

Theorem (R. Rado – 1933)

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$. TFAE:

- 1 Given any colouring c of \mathbb{N} , there are c -monochromatic $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T = \mathbf{b}$; and

Theorem (R. Rado – 1933)

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$. TFAE:

- 1 Given any colouring c of \mathbb{N} , there are c -monochromatic $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T = \mathbf{b}$; and
- 2 either
 - there is an $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a, a, \dots, a)^T = \mathbf{b}$; or
 - there is an $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A(a, \dots, a)^T = \mathbf{b}$ and A satisfies the columns condition.

Given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any infinite set R , functions $f_1, \dots, f_m : R^n \rightarrow R$, and $r_1, \dots, r_m \in R$

Given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any infinite set R , functions $f_1, \dots, f_m : R^n \rightarrow R$, and $r_1, \dots, r_m \in R$ We say that the system of functional equations

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_1 \\ \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_m \end{cases}$$

Given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any infinite set R , functions $f_1, \dots, f_m : R^n \rightarrow R$, and $r_1, \dots, r_m \in R$ We say that the system of functional equations

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_1 \\ \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_m \end{cases}$$

is **partition regular** (abbr. as **PR**) over an infinite subset $S \subseteq R$ if and only if for all colouring c of R one can find c -monochromatic $s_1, \dots, s_m \in S$ satisfying $f_j(s_1, \dots, s_n) = r_j$ for all $j \in [m]$.

Theorem (I. Schur – 1916)

The equation $x + y - z = 0$ is PR over \mathbb{N}

Theorem (I. Schur – 1916)

The equation $x + y - z = 0$ is PR over \mathbb{N}

Theorem (B. van der Waerden – 1922)

The system for any integer $k \geq 3$ the system there is a $b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the system

$$\begin{cases} x_2 - x_1 & = & b \\ x_3 - x_2 & = & x_2 - x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_k - x_{k-1} & = & x_{k-1} - x_{k-2} \end{cases}$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} .

Theorem (R. Rado – 1933)

Given any $m \times n$ matrix A , the system $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \mathbf{0}$ is PR over \mathbb{N} if and only if A satisfies the columns condition.

Theorem (R. Rado – 1933)

Given any $m \times n$ matrix A , the system $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \mathbf{0}$ is PR over \mathbb{N} if and only if A satisfies the columns condition.

Theorem (R. Rado – 1933)

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$. Then the inhomogeneous system $A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{b}$ is PR if and only if either

Theorem (R. Rado – 1933)

Given any $m \times n$ matrix A , the system $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T = \mathbf{0}$ is PR over \mathbb{N} if and only if A satisfies the columns condition.

Theorem (R. Rado – 1933)

Let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with rational entries and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Q}^m$. Then the inhomogeneous system $A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{b}$ is PR if and only if either

- *there is an $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(a, a, \dots, a)^T = \mathbf{b}$; or*
- *there is an $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A(a, \dots, a)^T = \mathbf{b}$ and A satisfies the columns condition.*

Question

What properties on $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ could ensure that the system (called system of **Diophantine equations**)

$$\begin{cases} P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) & = & 0 \\ & \vdots & \\ P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) & = & 0 \end{cases}$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ?

Question

What properties on $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ could ensure that the system (called system of **Diophantine equations**)

$$\begin{cases} P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) & = & 0 \\ & \vdots & \\ P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) & = & 0 \end{cases}$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ?

The case of linear systems (both homogeneous and inhomogeneous) was completely solved by Rado. But only scarce nonlinear cases are known to be (or not) PR over \mathbb{N} .

Theorem (M. Davis, Y. Matiyasevich, H. Putnam and J. Robinson)

There is no general algorithm that, for any given Diophantine equation, can decide whether this equation has a solution where all the unknowns take integer values.

Theorem (M. Davis, Y. Matiyasevich, H. Putnam and J. Robinson)

There is no general algorithm that, for any given Diophantine equation, can decide whether this equation has a solution where all the unknowns take integer values.

Question

Is there an general algorithm that, given a Diophantine equation, can decide whether this equation is PR over \mathbb{N} ?

From the PR of $x + y = z$ over \mathbb{N} , we can derive the PR of $xy = z$.
Indeed, given a coloring c of \mathbb{N} , define the coloring $\chi(n) = c(2^n)$.

From the PR of $x + y = z$ over \mathbb{N} , we can derive the PR of $xy = z$.
Indeed, given a coloring c of \mathbb{N} , define the coloring $\chi(n) = c(2^n)$. Thus,
we know that both $x + y = z$ and $xy = z$ are PR over \mathbb{N} .

From the PR of $x + y = z$ over \mathbb{N} , we can derive the PR of $xy = z$. Indeed, given a coloring c of \mathbb{N} , define the coloring $\chi(n) = c(2^n)$. Thus, we know that both $x + y = z$ and $xy = z$ are PR over \mathbb{N} . Nevertheless, the following question is still open:

Question

Is the system

$$\begin{cases} x + y = z \\ x \cdot y = w \end{cases}$$

partition regular over \mathbb{N} ?

From the PR of $x + y = z$ over \mathbb{N} , we can derive the PR of $xy = z$. Indeed, given a coloring c of \mathbb{N} , define the coloring $\chi(n) = c(2^n)$. Thus, we know that both $x + y = z$ and $xy = z$ are PR over \mathbb{N} . Nevertheless, the following question is still open:

Question

Is the system

$$\begin{cases} x + y = z \\ x \cdot y = w \end{cases}$$

partition regular over \mathbb{N} ?

Theorem (J. Moreira – 2018)

For all colouring c of \mathbb{N} there are $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x, x + y, x \cdot y$ are c -monochromatic

Question

Is the system

$$\begin{cases} x + y = z \\ x \cdot y = w \end{cases}$$

partition regular over \mathbb{N} ?

Theorem (J. Moreira – 2018)

For all colouring c of \mathbb{N} there are $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x, x + y, x \cdot y$ are c -monochromatic

Theorem (M. Bowen – 2022)

Given any 2-colouring of \mathbb{N} one can find c -monochromatic x, y, z, w such that $x + y = z$ and $xy = w$.

Theorem (J. Moreira – 2018)

For all colouring c of \mathbb{N} there are $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x, x + y, x \cdot y$ are c -monochromatic

Theorem (M. Bowen – 2022)

Given any 2-colouring of \mathbb{N} one can find c -monochromatic x, y, z, w such that $x + y = z$ and $xy = w$.

Theorem (M. Bowen and M. Sabok – 2022)

The system

$$\begin{cases} x + y = z \\ x \cdot y = w \end{cases}$$

is PR over \mathbb{Q}

Question (Monochromatic Pythagorean Triples)

Is the equation $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ partition regular over \mathbb{N} ?

Question (Monochromatic Pythagorean Triples)

Is the equation $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ partition regular over \mathbb{N} ?

Theorem (M. Heule, O. Kullmann and V. W. Marek – 2016)

For every 2-colorings of \mathbb{N} there are c -monochromatic $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$.

- (Multiplicative Rado) Given $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$, the equation

$$\prod_{i=1}^m x_i = 1$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} iff there is a non-empty $I \subseteq [m]$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$;

- (Multiplicative Rado) Given $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$, the equation

$$\prod_{i=1}^m x_i = 1$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} iff there is a non-empty $I \subseteq [m]$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$;

- (Lefmann) Given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$c_1 x_1^{\frac{1}{k}} + \dots + c_m x_m^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} iff there is a non-empty $I \subseteq [m]$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$;

- (V. Bergelson, H. Furstenberg, McCutcheon) given any $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $P(0) = 0$, the equation

$$x - y = P(z)$$

is partition regular over \mathbb{N} ;

- (V. Bergelson, H. Furstenberg, McCutcheon) given any $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $P(0) = 0$, the equation

$$x - y = P(z)$$

is partition regular over \mathbb{N} ;

- (N. Hindman) the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \prod_{i=1}^m y_i$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (L. Luperi Baglini) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. If there is a non-empty $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$, then the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \prod_{j \in F} y_j = 0$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (L. Luperi Baglini) Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. If there is a non-empty $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$, then the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \prod_{j \in F} y_j = 0$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (J. Moreira – 2018) Let $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $c_1 + \dots + c_n = 0$. Then the equation $c_1 x_1^2 + \dots + c_n x_n^2 = y$ is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (M. Di Nasso and L. Luperi Baglini – 2018)
 - If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ has no constant term and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ are such that one can find an $I \subseteq [m]$ satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$, then the equation

$$c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_m x_m = P(y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (M. Di Nasso and L. Luperi Baglini – 2018)
 - If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ has no constant term and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ are such that one can find an $I \subseteq [m]$ satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$, then the equation

$$c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_m x_m = P(y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, then the equation

$$x = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{a_i}$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

■ (M. Di Nasso and L. Luperi Baglini – 2018)

- If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ has no constant term and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ are such that one can find an $I \subseteq [m]$ satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$, then the equation

$$c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_m x_m = P(y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, then the equation

$$x = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{a_i}$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- If $P \in \mathbb{Z}[y]$ has no constant term and $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$, then

$$c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_m x_m = P(y)$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} iff there is a non-empty $I \subseteq [m]$ such that $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$;

- (S. Chow, S. Lindqvist, S. Prendiville) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a $s(n) \geq 3$ such that

$$c_1 x_1^n + \cdots + c_{s(n)} x_{s(n)}^n = 0$$

is PR over \mathbb{N} if and only if there is a non-empty $I \subseteq [m]$ satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} c_i = 0$. Moreover, $s(2) = 5$ and $s(3) = 8$.

- (S. Farhangi and R. Magner – 2022) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (S. Farhangi and R. Magner – 2022) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - if $a + b = 0$, then $ax + by = cw^mz^n$ is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (S. Farhangi and R. Magner – 2022) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - if $a + b = 0$, then $ax + by = cw^m z^n$ is PR over \mathbb{N} ;
 - if $\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{c}$ or $\frac{a+b}{c}$ is an n -th power in \mathbb{Q} , then $ax + by = cwz^n$ is PR over \mathbb{Z}^\times ;

- (S. Farhangi and R. Magner – 2022) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - if $a + b = 0$, then $ax + by = cw^m z^n$ is PR over \mathbb{N} ;
 - if $\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{c}$ or $\frac{a+b}{c}$ is an n -th power in \mathbb{Q} , then $ax + by = cwz^n$ is PR over \mathbb{Z}^\times ;
 - if $\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{c}$ or $\frac{a+b}{c}$ is an n -th power in $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, then $ax + by = cwz^n$ is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (S. Farhangi and R. Magner – 2022) Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - if $a + b = 0$, then $ax + by = cw^m z^n$ is PR over \mathbb{N} ;
 - if $\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{c}$ or $\frac{a+b}{c}$ is an n -th power in \mathbb{Q} , then $ax + by = cwz^n$ is PR over \mathbb{Z}^\times ;
 - if $\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{c}$ or $\frac{a+b}{c}$ is an n -th power in $\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, then $ax + by = cwz^n$ is PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (Csikvári, Gyarmati and Sárközy) The equation $x + y = z^2$ is not PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (Csikvári, Gyarmati and Sárközy) The equation $x + y = z^2$ is not PR over \mathbb{N} ;
- (M. Di Nasso and M. Riggio) if $k \notin \{m, n\}$ then the equation $x^m + y^n = z^k$ is not PR over \mathbb{N} ;

- (Csikvári, Gyarmati and Sárközy) The equation $x + y = z^2$ is not PR over \mathbb{N} ;
- (M. Di Nasso and M. Riggio) if $k \notin \{m, n\}$ then the equation $x^m + y^n = z^k$ is not PR over \mathbb{N} ;
- (S. Farhangi and R. Mager – 2022) if $a + b \neq 0$ then $ax + by = cw^m z^n$ is not PR over \mathbb{Z}^\times

An ultrafilter on a set S is any collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of S satisfying

- 1 $S \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$;

An ultrafilter on a set S is any collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of S satisfying

- 1 $S \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$;
- 2 if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$;

An ultrafilter on a set S is any collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of S satisfying

- 1 $S \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$;
- 2 if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$;
- 3 if $A \subseteq B \subseteq S$ and $A \in \mathcal{U}$, then $B \in \mathcal{U}$; and

An ultrafilter on a set S is any collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of S satisfying

- 1 $S \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$;
- 2 if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$;
- 3 if $A \subseteq B \subseteq S$ and $A \in \mathcal{U}$, then $B \in \mathcal{U}$; and
- 4 if $A \subseteq S$, either $A \in \mathcal{U}$ or $S \setminus A \in \mathcal{U}$.

An ultrafilter on a set S is any collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of S satisfying

- 1 $S \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$;
- 2 if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$;
- 3 if $A \subseteq B \subseteq S$ and $A \in \mathcal{U}$, then $B \in \mathcal{U}$; and
- 4 if $A \subseteq S$, either $A \in \mathcal{U}$ or $S \setminus A \in \mathcal{U}$.

Property (4) above is equivalent to if $A \cup B \in \mathcal{U}$, then either $A \in \mathcal{U}$ or $B \in \mathcal{U}$.

An ultrafilter on a set S is any collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of S satisfying

- 1 $S \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{U}$;
- 2 if $A, B \in \mathcal{U}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$;
- 3 if $A \subseteq B \subseteq S$ and $A \in \mathcal{U}$, then $B \in \mathcal{U}$; and
- 4 if $A \subseteq S$, either $A \in \mathcal{U}$ or $S \setminus A \in \mathcal{U}$.

Property (4) above is equivalent to if $A \cup B \in \mathcal{U}$, then either $A \in \mathcal{U}$ or $B \in \mathcal{U}$.

Given any $s \in S$,

$$\mathcal{U}_s = \{A \subseteq S : s \in A\}$$

is an ultrafilter on S , called **principal**. Any non-principal ultrafilter is called **free**.

The set of all ultrafilters of S is βS . For each $A \subseteq S$, let

$$\bar{A} = \{\mathcal{U} \in \beta S : A \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Then $\{\bar{A} : A \subseteq S\}$ is a base of open-and-closed subsets for a topology of βS .

The set of all ultrafilters of S is βS . For each $A \subseteq S$, let

$$\bar{A} = \{\mathcal{U} \in \beta S : A \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Then $\{\bar{A} : A \subseteq S\}$ is a base of open-and-closed subsets for a topology of βS . Furnished with this topology, βS is completely characterised as the compact (= Hausdorff+compact) space that contains S as a dense subset (identifying every $s \in S$ with \mathcal{U}_s) and given any compact K and any $f : S \rightarrow K$ there is an unique continuous $\bar{f} : \beta S \rightarrow K$ such that $\bar{f}|_S = f$

If S has an associative operation $*$, then one can extend this operation to βS as follows:

$$A \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V} \iff \{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U},$$

where $s^{-1}A = \{t \in S : st \in A\}$.

If S has an associative operation $*$, then one can extend this operation to βS as follows:

$$A \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V} \iff \{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U},$$

where $s^{-1}A = \{t \in S : st \in A\}$. This operation is associative and for all $s \in S$ and $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$, the maps

$$\beta S \ni \mathcal{V} \mapsto s * \mathcal{V} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta S \ni \mathcal{V} \mapsto \mathcal{V} * \mathcal{U}$$

are continuous

Theorem (Ellis)

Under the above conditions, $\beta S \setminus S$ contains an idempotent element with respect to $$; i.e. there is an $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S \setminus S$ such that $\mathcal{U} * \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$.*

$$A \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{V} \iff \{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in \mathcal{V}\} \in \mathcal{U},$$

Theorem (Ellis)

$\beta S \setminus S$ contains an idempotent element with respect to $*$; i.e. there is an $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S \setminus S$ such that $\mathcal{U} * \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}$.

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S \setminus S$ be idempotent. Then $A \in \mathcal{U} \iff A \in \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{U}$. As such

$$B = A \cap \{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in \mathcal{U}\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Thus $B \neq \emptyset$ and given any $s \in B$, $s^{-1}A \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Picking $t \in s^{-1}A \cap A$ we have that $st \in A$.

Hence any idempotent \mathcal{U} in βS satisfies the following: any $A \in \beta S$ contains elements s and t such that $st \in A$.

Hence any idempotent \mathcal{U} in βS satisfies the following: any $A \in \beta S$ contains elements s and t such that $st \in A$.

Let c be a r -colouring of S .

Hence any idempotent \mathcal{U} in βS satisfies the following: any $A \in \beta S$ contains elements s and t such that $st \in A$.

Let c be a r -colouring of S . Since $c^{-1}[\{1\}] \cup \dots \cup c^{-1}[\{r\}] = S$, there is a $i \in [r]$ such that $A = c^{-1}[\{i\}] \in \mathcal{U}$.

Hence any idempotent \mathcal{U} in βS satisfies the following: any $A \in \beta S$ contains elements s and t such that $st \in A$.

Let c be a r -colouring of S . Since $c^{-1}[\{1\}] \cup \dots \cup c^{-1}[\{r\}] = S$, there is a $i \in [r]$ such that $A = c^{-1}[\{i\}] \in \mathcal{U}$. By the above property, A contains elements s and t such that $st \in A$.

Hence any idempotent \mathcal{U} in βS satisfies the following: any $A \in \beta S$ contains elements s and t such that $st \in A$.

Let c be a r -colouring of S . Since $c^{-1}[\{1\}] \cup \dots \cup c^{-1}[\{r\}] = S$, there is a $i \in [r]$ such that $A = c^{-1}[\{i\}] \in \mathcal{U}$. By the above property, A contains elements s and t such that $st \in A$.

Hence, given a colouring c of S , there are $s, t \in S$ such that s, t, st are c -monochromatic.

Theorem

Given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any infinite set R , functions $f_1, \dots, f_m : R^n \rightarrow R$, and $r_1, \dots, r_m \in R$ system of functional equations

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_m \end{cases}$$

is PR over an infinite set $S \subseteq R$ if and only if there is an $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ one can find $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ satisfying $f_j(a_1, \dots, a_n) = r_j$ for all $j \in [m]$. We call such an ultrafilter a **witness** of the PR of the system.

A **constant solution** for

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_m \end{cases}$$

is any $s \in S$ such that $f_j(s, \dots, s) = r_j$ for all $j \in [m]$.

A **constant solution** for

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_m \end{cases}$$

is any $s \in S$ such that $f_j(s, \dots, s) = r_j$ for all $j \in [m]$. A system that has a constant solution is PR for trivial reasons. We call this **trivial PR**.

A **constant solution** for

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_m \end{cases}$$

is any $s \in S$ such that $f_j(s, \dots, s) = r_j$ for all $j \in [m]$. A system that has a constant solution is PR for trivial reasons. We call this **trivial PR**.

Theorem (N. Hindman and I. Leader)

The equation $c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n = 0$ is PR over \mathbb{N} iff it is non-trivially PR.

A **constant solution** for

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = r_m \end{cases}$$

is any $s \in S$ such that $f_j(s, \dots, s) = r_j$ for all $j \in [m]$. A system that has a constant solution is PR for trivial reasons. We call this **trivial PR**.

Theorem (N. Hindman and I. Leader)

The equation $c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n = 0$ is PR over \mathbb{N} iff it is non-trivially PR.

Actually, every equation whose PR is known shown so far is non-trivially PR

Theorem (Main Result)

Let S be an infinite set, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_m : S^{n+1} \rightarrow S$. Let $\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ be the system of functional equations

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = s_1; \\ \vdots \\ f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = s_m. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $s \in S$ the number of solutions in the variables x_1, \dots, x_n of $\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n, s) = 0$ is at most k . Then the system $\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ is partition regular on S if and only if it is trivially PR.

Theorem

Let $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be polynomials having degree ≥ 1 and

$$\sigma(x, y) = \begin{cases} P_1(x, y), \\ \vdots \\ P_m(x, y). \end{cases}$$

The following facts are equivalent:

- 1 The system $\sigma(x, y) = 0$ has a constant solution;
- 2 The system $\sigma(x, y) = 0$ is PR on \mathbb{N} .

Proof: In each integral domain R an univariate polynomial P has at most $\deg P$ roots.

Corollary

In the same notations and hypotheses of the previous Theorem, the following are equivalent:

- 1** *The system $\sigma(x, y) = 0$ is infinitely PR over \mathbb{N} ;*
- 2** *$(x - y)$ divides $P_1(x, y), \dots, P_m(x, y)$.*

In particular, $x - y$ is the only irreducible infinitely PR polynomial in two variables.

Corollary

In the same notations and hypotheses of the previous Theorem, the following are equivalent:

- 1** *The system $\sigma(x, y) = 0$ is infinitely PR over \mathbb{N} ;*
- 2** *$(x - y)$ divides $P_1(x, y), \dots, P_m(x, y)$.*

In particular, $x - y$ is the only irreducible infinitely PR polynomial in two variables.

Proof: Bézout's Theorem.

Theorem

Let Γ be a non-torsion multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times of rank r . For all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ the equation $ax + by = 1$ has at most $2^{16(r+1)}$ solutions in Γ .

Corollary

Let Γ be a non-torsion multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times of rank r . Given any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the equation $ax + by + cz = 0$ is PR over Γ if and only if it has constant solutions, namely if and only if $a + b + c = 0$.

Proof: For all $s \in \Gamma$ we have that

$$ax + by + cs = 0 \iff \frac{a}{-cs}x + \frac{b}{-cs}y = 1.$$

Corollary

Let Γ be a non-torsion multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times of rank r . Given any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the equation $ax + by + cz = 0$ is PR over Γ if and only if it has constant solutions, namely if and only if $a + b + c = 0$.

Corollary

Let Γ be a non-torsion multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times of rank r . Given any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the equation $ax + by + cz = 0$ is PR over Γ if and only if it has constant solutions, namely if and only if $a + b + c = 0$.

Proof: For all $s \in \Gamma$ we have that

$$ax + by + cs = 0 \iff \frac{a}{-cs}x + \frac{b}{-cs}y = 1.$$

Corollary

Let Γ be a non-torsion multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times of rank r . Given any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the equation $ax + by + cz = 0$ is PR over Γ if and only if it has constant solutions, namely if and only if $a + b + c = 0$.

Proof: For all $s \in \Gamma$ we have that

$$ax + by + cs = 0 \iff \frac{a}{-cs}x + \frac{b}{-cs}y = 1.$$

As such we see that Rado's Theorem **fails** in Γ .

Corollary

Let Γ be a non-torsion multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times of rank r . Given any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the equation $ax + by + cz = 0$ is PR over Γ if and only if it has constant solutions, namely if and only if $a + b + c = 0$.

Proof: For all $s \in \Gamma$ we have that

$$ax + by + cs = 0 \iff \frac{a}{-cs}x + \frac{b}{-cs}y = 1.$$

As such we see that Rado's Theorem **fails** in Γ . Moreover, Γ cannot contain 3-terms arithmetic progressions.

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in [m]$ and $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, \dots, \alpha_{in}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\times})^n$ such that

$$\gcd(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \gcd\{\alpha_{ij} : i \in [m] \text{ and } j \in [n]\}.$$

Theorem

Given polynomials $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, the number of solution of the equation

$$P_1(\mathbf{x})\alpha_1^{\mathbf{x}} + \dots + P_m(\mathbf{x})\alpha_m^{\mathbf{x}} = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\alpha_i^{\mathbf{x}} = \alpha_{i1}^{x_1} \cdots \alpha_{in}^{x_n}$, is finite and only depends on the degree of the polynomials and the number of variables.

Let $P_1(x, y, z) = xy - z + 2$, $P_2(x, y, z) = x - y + 2z + 2$, and $P_3(x, y, z) = xyz - z + 3$. Then the polyexponential equation

$$P_1(x, y, z)2^x 3^y + P_2(x, y, z)5^x 7^y + P_3(x, y, z)11^x 13^y = 0$$

is not PR over \mathbb{Z} .

Let $P_1(x, y, z) = xy - z + 2$, $P_2(x, y, z) = x - y + 2z + 2$, and $P_3(x, y, z) = xyz - z + 3$. Then the polyexponential equation

$$P_1(x, y, z)2^x 3^y + P_2(x, y, z)5^x 7^y + P_3(x, y, z)11^x 13^y = 0$$

is not PR over \mathbb{Z} . Indeed, fixed any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, the equation

$$P_1(x, y, s)2^x 3^y + P_2(x, y, s)5^x 7^y + P_3(x, y, s)11^x 13^y = 0$$

has a finite number of solutions that depend only on the degree of the polynomials and the number of variables. By the main theorem, this equation is PR iff there is a $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$6^s(s^2 - s + 2) + 35^s(2s + 2) + 143^s(s^3 - s + 3) = 0.$$

which is impossible.

Theorem (General case)

Given polynomials $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]$ The equation

$$P_1(\mathbf{x}, y)\alpha_1^x + \dots + P_m(\mathbf{x}, y)\alpha_m^x = 0$$

is PR over \mathbb{Z} iff it admits a constant solution.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ and let $f : S \rightarrow S$. Let $\bar{f} : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ be the continuous extension of f to βS . Then $\bar{f}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ if and only if there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ and let $\varphi_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \varphi_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be properties on S . The following are equivalent:

- 1 Given any $A \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $j \in [k]$ such that $\varphi_j(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is satisfied; and
- 2 there exists a $j \in [k]$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ one can find $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ satisfying $\varphi_j(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

For all $A \subseteq S$, let

$$A' = \{s \in A : \exists s_1, \dots, s_n \in A \text{ st } \sigma(s_1, \dots, s_n, s) = 0\}.$$

By the hypothesis, there are

$$\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k : S' \rightarrow S^n$$

such that whenever s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} is a solution to the system then $\exists j \in [k]$
st $\psi_j(s_{n+1}) = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$.

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ be a witness of the PR of this system. Then for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ we have that $A' \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, by the previous Lemma, TFAE

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ be a witness of the PR of this system. Then for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ we have that $A' \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, by the previous Lemma, TFAE

(**P₀**) for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ one can find $a_1, \dots, a_n, a \in A$ and $j \in [k]$ such that $\psi_j(a_{n+1}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$;

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ be a witness of the PR of this system. Then for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ we have that $A' \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, by the previous Lemma, TFAE

- (P₀) for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ one can find $a_1, \dots, a_n, a \in A$ and $j \in [k]$ such that $\psi_j(a_{n+1}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$;
- (P₁) there is a $j_0 \in [k]$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ one can find $a_1, \dots, a_n, a \in A$ satisfying $\psi_{j_0}(a_{n+1}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$;

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ be a witness of the PR of this system. Then for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ we have that $A' \in \mathcal{U}$. Thus, by the previous Lemma, TFAE

(**P**₀) for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ one can find $a_1, \dots, a_n, a \in A$ and $j \in [k]$ such that $\psi_j(a_{n+1}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$;

(**P**₁) there is a $j_0 \in [k]$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{U}$ one can find $a_1, \dots, a_n, a \in A$ satisfying $\psi_{j_0}(a_{n+1}) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$;

Fix such j_0 and let

$$A'' = \{a \in A : \psi_{j_0}(a) \in A^n\}.$$

Then $A'' \in \mathcal{U}$. Let $\pi_i : S^n \rightarrow S$ be the projection onto the i -th coordinate. Then

$$A'' \subseteq A''' := \{a \in A : \pi_i \circ \psi_{j_0}(a) \in A\}$$

We conclude that for all $i \in [n]$ $\pi_i \circ \psi_i(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.

We conclude that for all $i \in [n]$ $\pi_i \circ \psi_i(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ and let $f : S \rightarrow S$. Let $\bar{f} : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ be the continuous extension of f to βS . Then $\bar{f}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ if and only if there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$.

We conclude that for all $i \in [n]$ $\pi_i \circ \psi_i(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ and let $f : S \rightarrow S$. Let $\bar{f} : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ be the continuous extension of f to βS . Then $\bar{f}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ if and only if there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$.

Then there is a $B \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\pi_i \circ \psi_{j_0}|_B = \text{id}$.

We conclude that for all $i \in [n]$ $\pi_i \circ \psi_i(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem

Let $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ and let $f : S \rightarrow S$. Let $\bar{f} : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$ be the continuous extension of f to βS . Then $\bar{f}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{U}$ if and only if there exists $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $f(a) = a$ for all $a \in A$.

Then there is a $B \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\pi_i \circ \psi_{j_0}|_B = \text{id}$. Then $B \cap B' \cap B''$ contains a constant solution to the system.

Thank you.

Thank you.

paulo.arruda@univie.ac.at